

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patcht and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bon 45 Alexandria Wegini 22313-1450 www.usput.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,521	06/28/2001	Hani El-Gebaly	10559-493001	8098
Kevin A. Reif c/o BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER JEAN GILLES, JUDE	
			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/896,521	EL-GEBALY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 August 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/28/2001</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	oate			

This Action is in regards to the Appeal Brief received on 08/09/2006.

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to the application filed on 08/09/2006. Claim 1 has

been amended. There are no newly added claims. Claims 1-30 are pending and

represent a method and apparatus for "a Distributed Multipoint Conferencing."

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, 21, and 25 have been

carefully considered, but are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments are

deemed moot in view of the following new ground of rejection as explained here below,

necessitated by applicants' remarks in the response to the Office Action dated

06/05/2006. The dependent claims stand rejected as articulated in the Previous Office

Action under the new reference below.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The references listed on the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on

06/28/2001 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449A).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 22, 23, and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 12-14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pirich et al (Pirich), Patent No. 6,424,994 B1.

Regarding **claim 1**, Pirich discloses a method for setting up a distributed multipoint conference among three or more endpoints without requiring centralized control either for signaling or for mixing media streams (figs. 2 a-d; see abstract and summary), the method comprising:

establishing a connection between a plurality of endpoints, including at least a requesting endpoint and one or more other participating endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);

initiating a connection from the requesting endpoint to at least a third endpoint, the requesting endpoint identifying to the third endpoint the one or more other participating endpoints participating in the conference with the requesting endpoint (column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67); and

the third endpoint directly establishing a connection between itself and the one or more other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint, the third endpoint identifying the requesting endpoint to the one or more other participating endpoints (column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 4: Pirich discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising, in response to the initiation of the connection from the requesting endpoint, establishing a connection from the third endpoint to the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 5: Pirich teaches the method of claim 1, but fails to disclose a method in which initiating a connection comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that includes an identity of the one or more other participating endpoints (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 7: Pirich teaches the method of claim 1 in which establishing the connection between the third endpoint and the one or more other participating endpoints comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that includes an identity of the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 10: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses the method of claim 1 in which the initiating and establishing are repeated to form an N-way conference, where N is an integer greater than three (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 12: the combination of Fabybishenko-Falck discloses a method of facilitating a multipoint conference among three or more endpoints, the method comprising:

receiving from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint, the invitation identifying one or more other participating endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67); and

directly sending to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying

Application/Control Number: 09/896,521

Art Unit: 2143

the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67); and directly sending to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 13: Pirich discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising, in response to receiving an invitation from the requesting endpoint, establishing a connection with the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 14: Pirich discloses the method of claim 13 in which establishing the connection with the requesting endpoint is order independent from sending invitations to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 17: Pirich discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising, in response to sending invitations to the other participating endpoints, receiving from each of the other participating endpoints information establishing a connection (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 21: Pirich discloses a machine-accessible medium including instructions that, when executed, cause a machine to:

directly receive from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);

directly establish a connection with the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);

directly send to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);

directly receive from each of the other endpoints information establishing a connection (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67); and

mix a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other endpoints to form a logical conference (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 25: Pirich teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Hirni discloses a system comprising:

a user interface configured to receive from a user of the application input identifying one or more endpoints to be called to form a conference and to present a plurality of media streams to the user in a format that suggests inter-relatedness of the streams; and

H.323 protocol support for performing the following Internet Protocol (IP) telephony operations:

- (i) receive from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);
- (ii) establish a connection with the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);
- (iii) send to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67);

Application/Control Number: 09/896,521

Art Unit: 2143

(iv) receive from each of the other endpoints information establishing a connection (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67); and

Page 9

(v) mix a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other endpoints to form a logical conference (see Pirich; column 2, lines 10-12; column 2, lines 47-67; column 5, lines 48-67; column 6, 1-67; column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 11, 18-20, 24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pirich, in view of Pearce et al (hereinafter Pearce) US Patent No. 7,079,495 B1.

Regarding claim 2: Pirich teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Pirich discloses a method for setting up a distributed multipoint conference among three or more endpoints without requiring centralized control either for signaling or for mixing media streams, but does specifically disclose the details of a method in which "the connections between endpoints comprise connections that support unicast streams endpoints".

lines 13-22].

In the same field of endeavor, Pearce discloses "... To avoid sending unwanted messages to devices, a source device alternatively can transmit a unicast to each intended destination device. Each unicast is an individual data stream sent to the particular destination device. Unlike broadcast, the data stream is not forwarded to unintended recipients. However, a separate, but identical, data stream must be generated for each destination device. This is inefficient and consumes network bandwidth. In addition, extra processing power and memory is required at the source device to generate a message for each destination device..." [see Pearce; column 9,

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated *Pearce*'s teachings of a connection that supports unicast streams, with the teachings of Pirich, for the purpose of "...providing useful applications such s client summing multicast conferences between unicast and multicast devices, music on hold transmitted to multicast and unicast device, and silent monitoring of multicast calls by one or more unicast device..." as stated by Pearce in lines 25-20 of column 31. By this rationale, claim 2 is rejected.

Regarding claim 3: the combination of Pirich-Pearce discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising at each of the endpoints, mixing streams received from each of the other endpoints to form a logical conference (see Pearce; column 9, lines 50-67; column 10, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 11: The combination of Pirich-Pearce teaches the method of claim 1, in which initiating a connection to a third endpoint is performed in response to

Application/Control Number: 09/896,521

Art Unit: 2143

input received from a user of an Internet Protocol telephony application (see Pirich; column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 18: the combination of Pirich-Pearce discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising mixing a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other participating endpoints to form a logical conference (see Pearce; column 9, lines 1-67; column 10, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 19: the combination of Pirich-Pearce discloses the method of claim 18 in which the plurality of unicast streams include voice data or video data or both (see Pearce; column 9, lines 1-67; column 10, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 20: The combination of Pirich-Pearce teaches the method of claim 12, in which the receiving and sending are performed by an Internet Protocol telephony application (see Pearce; column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 24: The combination of Pirich-Pearce teaches the machine accessible medium of claim 21 in which the instructions are performed by an Internet Protocol telephony application (see Pearce; column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 26: the combination of Pirich-Pearce discloses the application of claim 25 wherein the application comprises a client application configured to be executed on a computer system associated with the user, the client configured to communicate with a remote server application to provide the user with IP telephony

functionality (see Pearce; column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-60; see Pirich; column 5, lines 1-17).

Regarding claim 27: the combination of Pirich-Pearce discloses the application of claim 25 wherein, if two or more of the unicast streams comprise audio information, the user interface is configured to overlay the audio streams to suggest interrelatedness (see Pearce; column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-60; see Pirich; column 5, lines 1-17).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's remarks in the Reply filed on 08/09/2006 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL**. The Examiner strongly anticipates a Final Rejection Office Action on the next response if amendments are not properly made to the claims to perhaps place them in condition for allowance. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-9000.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG

November 13, 2006

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER